

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/287,673

Applicant(s)

FERENCZ ET AL.

Examiner

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez

Art Unit

1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Norca L. Torres-Velazquez.

(3) _____.

(2) Steve Geimer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 6-13 and 45.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

- Examiner clarified to attorney that claims 12-13 and 45 are rejected even though these were not listed in the Office Action Summary.

- Attorney will confirm the election of claims 1-13 in his next communication.

- Regarding the 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 1-4 and 6-11 for the use of the term "substantially", Applicant will respond to that rejection explaining why they use the term. They want to distinguish from staple fibers.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez
Examiner's signature, if required